

Minutes of the Meeting
of the
REAL ESTATE LICENSE COMMISSION
OF THE TERRITORY OF HAWAII

July 28, 1954 at the offices of the Real Estate License Commission, Rooms 109 and 121, Honolulu Armory, corner of Hotel and Miller Streets, Honolulu, Hawaii.

Present:

Eaton H. Magoon, Chairman
J. Patton Odom, Member
Hirotooshi Yamamoto, Member
Stephen K. Miyagawa, Administrator

The regular meeting of the Real Estate License Commission of the Territory of Hawaii was called to order by Mr. Eaton H. Magoon, Chairman, at 1:30 p.m., in the Commission's offices, Rooms 109 and 121, Honolulu Armory, Honolulu, T. H. The reading of the minutes of the previous meeting was dispensed with. The order of business and the actions taken were as follows:

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Case of David Chung Wo Au
Informal Hearing

The case of David Chung Wo Au was reviewed before the hearing. The Abstract of Criminal Record from the Bureau of Crime Statistics and Identification reports that on February 17, 1949 Mr. Au, alias Ah Lan Au, was convicted in the U.S. District Court, T. H. for violation of U.S. Code, Title 18, Section 91, to wit: Influencing an immigration official, one Mr. Kalstrom, to have Mr. Kalstrom issue a Certificate of Citizenship to one, Loni W. Wah.

Mr. Au is now seeking reinstatement of his salesman's license which was revoked on April 19, 1949. He claims that he can present satisfactory proof to the Commission that for the five years next preceding the date of his application he has lived an upright and moral life as required under Section 7737, Chapter 150, Revised Laws of Hawaii, as amended.

Mr. Kinji Kanazawa, attorney for Mr. Au, was called before the Commission first.

Proceedings

MAGOON: Let me ask you a question of law on Page 5, subparagraph 2.

KANAZAWA: Yes, sir.

ODOM: It's Section 7737, No. 2.

MAGOON: (to Miyagawa) You read that paragraph to me. Mr. Miyagawa read the paragraph as follows: "2. To any person who does not possess a good character and reputation for honesty, truthfulness and fair dealing; or any person who has been convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude unless such person has received a full and free pardon or presents satisfactory proof to the commission that for the five years next preceding the date of his application he has lived an upright and moral life;"

There is a question of law - what does that "or" right before "presents satisfactory proof to the commission for the five years next preceding the date of his application he has lived an upright and moral life" means?

KANAZAWA: Yes?

MAGOON: What does that mean?

KANAZAWA: That "or" means alternative.

MAGOON: Are you sure?

KANAZAWA: Yes sir, I am positive.

MAGOON: I want you to be sure.

KANAZAWA: You see when this paragraph provides two possible sources of showing good character, one of which is if you can present satisfactory proof to the Commission that he has lived an upright and moral life five years preceding the application and the other is if he has received a full and free pardon that would indicate in the eyes of the law he has satisfied and met all the requirements to show that he is getting a new start. You see, if he has received a pardon, you don't actually have to show that he has been acting in good and upright manner prior to the petition. You see, the pardon will imply good conduct. This is a situation where you are giving a man an opportunity to get a new start in life.

MAGOON: Well, that is one thing I have to clarify. If that point is as you say.....

KANAZAWA: It is.

MAGOON: I hope it is.....I figure.....of course, I don't know how the rest feels. I will take this under advisement. Maybe you could write us a memorandum on that.

KANAZAWA: You could get an opinion on that.

MAGOON: I just want that clarified.

KANAZAWA: Yes, I would also.

MAGOON: You know that they have used "and" in place of "or" in cases like this. I'll have the Attorney General ruling.

(At this point, Mr. Harold G. Dillingham and Mr. Chung Wo Au were called in, and introduced to the members by Mr. Miyagawa, Commission Administrator.)

MAGOON: Mr. Kinji Kanazawa, as I understand, as attorney for Mr. Au, is asking to have his license reinstated?

MIYAGAWA: Yes, he has officially requested to have his license - salesman's license - reinstated.

MAGOON: Was he a broker before that time?

MIYAGAWA: He was a salesman.

MAGOON: He has never been a broker?

MIYAGAWA: No.

KANAZAWA: Shall we proceed? Is this a formal hearing?

MAGOON: If you are not satisfied, we can have a formal hearing.

KANAZAWA: What's the distinction between a formal hearing and an informal one?

MAGOON: There is a distinction. It will be necessary for us to put the witnesses under oath.

KANAZAWA: Well, if that's the only thing necessary, we will be very glad to go under oath. We have no hesitation to go on.

MAGOON: Usually under a formal hearing, we have a court reporter to take down the exact wording. I suggest that we go on and consider this an informal hearing. This is just an informal hearing. If at any time, you want a formal hearing, we can get a court reporter. Anything you say will not be used against you. Well, shall we proceed?

KANAZAWA: Mr. Au's real estate salesman's license was revoked pursuant to conviction of a Federal offense. He is here to petition for the reinstatement of his real estate salesman's license

and the provision under which he is coming forth to this Commission is Section 7737, subparagraph 2, which provides tha person may qualify for license in the words of the section here which says "no license shall be issued to any person who does not possess a good character and reputation for honesty, truthfulness and fair dealing; or any person who has been convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude unless such person has received a full and free pardon or presents satisfactory proof to the commission that for the five years next preceding the date of his application he has lived an upright and moral life." Mr. Au's petiton is based on the alternative "or presents good conduct that for the five years prior to this petition he has lived an upright and moral life." I have this afternoon, Mr. Harold Dillingham who has kindly consented to come before this Commission to express his views on the matter of the conduct of Mr. Au to the best of his knowledge for the past five years. I would like to present Mr. Dillingham, if it is in order, and I request you give him your full consideration.

MAGOON: Mr. Dillingham, would you please state your full name and your occupation?

DILLINGHAM: My name is Harold Goffield Dillingham, and I am President and Manager of B. F. Dillingham.

MAGOON: What's your relationship with Mr. Au?

DILLINGHAM: I would say.....Mr. Au has never had to be on our pay roll. For 20 years he has been selling all forms of life insurance that were represented by various companies that Dillingham acts as agent in the Territory.

MAGOON: In your relationship with him have you had occasion to observe his conduct and how often was your contact with him?

DILLINGHAM: Mr. Au, being an independent agent, I would have no control over his working hours. He works on his own and he comes and goes. He only receives compensation for his efforts when he is successful in making sales. Mr. Au is in the office some times. Most of his contact were with clients on the outside. He was provided with a desk in the office. I didn't see him everyday but I saw him very frequently.

MAGOON: And you are familiar with his records and promotion?

DILLINGHAM: Yes, Mr. Au was most successful as an underwriter for both casualty and life. He never had any difficulty or misunderstanding with applicants or rendering accounts of the money he handled.

MAGOON: And you have no question as far as his reputation as an insurance man?

DILLINGHAM: I have no question.

MAGOON: And would you say that during the past five years he has been leading a moral and upright life?

DILLINGHAM: To the best of my knowledge, and I believe he has.

MAGOON: That's all.

ODOM: I have a question to ask.

MAGOON: Go right ahead.

ODOM: What type of insurance does Mr. Au handle?

DILLINGHAM: He has general life.

ODOM: That interprets to sell what kinds?

DILLINGHAM: All forms of life and casualty.

ODOM: How long has he had this insurance license?

DILLINGHAM: 20 years.

ODOM: Has he worked for anyone else?

AU: I started 1935 - January 2nd.

ODOM: That's all.

MAGOON: Mr. Dillingham, is Mr. Au now employed by your?

DILLINGHAM: He still has a desk.

MAGOON: You see him?

DILLINGHAM: Occasionally, I see him when he comes in as my office is across the hall. I don't know about his qualifications as a real estate salesman. Yes, I don't know about that. I can tell you this that arrangements are now in the process of being completed where he would be given greater responsibility with the West Coast Life direct. Heretofore, he has had a contract under the Dillingham Company. Now, the contract will be direct with the West Coast Life. Under that contract, it carries a greater amount of responsibility for Mr. Au. The President of the West Coast was down here in May. He said that Mr. Au was a desirable man to have once in that capacity.

MAGOON: You're familiar with the fact that Mr. Au had difficulty

in the Federal Court?

DILLINGHAM: Yes.

MAGOON: In view of that fact you feel that he has lived an upright and moral life to the best of your knowledge?

DILLINGHAM: That's right, Mr. Magoon.

MAGOON: I have no further questions to ask.

ODOM: I have another question. Mr. Au, it's been a long time since you had any dealings in real estate. It's been about 5 or 6 years since you lost your license and a man loses a great deal of contact. I wonder if you kept abreast with real estate in the lapse of five years?

AU: That I can study.....if I can, okey. In fact, insurance is harder than real estate.

KANAZAWA: Are you inquiring as to his contact with his real estate business?

ODOM: Keeping abreast.

KANAZAWA: For your information, what specific knowledge you have in mind?

ODOM: He may have forgotten many things within six years.

KANAZAWA: For your information, he has been a purchaser and seller on his own and is now owner of several parcels of land in Kaimuki. These transactions were completed of recent years and which require of him some knowledge in the matter of valuation, legal documents, etc. When he buys a house he has to know what he is signing for, so I think as far as familiarity and contacts are concerned he will amply satisfy you already.

MAGOON: I have no further questions.

YAMAMOTO: I have.

MAGOON: Go ahead.

YAMAMOTO: Are you married?

AU: Yes.

YAMAMOTO: Do you have any children?

AU: Yes.

YAMAMOTO: How many?

AU: Three.

YAMAMOTO: I have one more question. Have you made any efforts to have a pardon by the Governor?

KANAZAWA: I might answer that question. It is a Federal offense. It is not in the Governor's jurisdiction. It is the Attorney General's of the United States and the President of the United States.

MAGOON: It can be obtained?

KANAZAWA: I suppose so.

MAGOON: I know you can, because I know I was an officer. We got pardons for people under Federal statutes.

KANAZAWA: I guess it is under the same basis as Territorial statutes.

MAGOON: I know this.....I got for a fellow named Nomura for a Federal offense a pardon from the President of the United States. He got a full and free pardon. You never made any effort to get that?

AU: No.

MAGOON: Why not?

AU: Why, nobody suggested.

MAGOON: Don't you have counsel?

AU: I have counsel.

MAGOON: Don't you feel better if you obtained a pardon from the United States government, clearing your record that you had lived an upright life. Don't you think you owe that to your children as well as to yourself that you should get a pardon?

KANAZAWA: I am sure that can be attained under a separate proceedings.

MAGOON: Were you represented by counsel in that case?

AU: Yes.

MAGOON: Who was your lawyer?

AU: Mr. Fong.

MAGOON: Who?

AU: Hiram.

MAGOON: No further question.

KANAZAWA: There is another matter which I would like to point out - make it a part of the record. I would like to get from Mr. Miyagawa a statement as to his findings on Mr. Au on the local police record.

MAGOON: That's denied. The Commission will not give out any record.

KANAZAWA: I want to make sure he.....

MAGOON: That's our business.

KANAZAWA: And also if there is any criminal record other than the.....

MAGOON: You ought to know; you don't have to..... He can tell you what offense he has.

KANAZAWA: I would like to have him.....

MAGOON: Mr. Au, were you convicted for any Federal offense in the last five years?

AU: No.

MAGOON: That's all. No further questions. That's our case.

MIYAGAWA: The other witness is Mr. William Kam.

(Mr. Kam was called in and introduced to the members)

KAM: I am sorry I'm a little late - I forgot all about it.

MAGOON: Let's proceed. Mr. Kam, shall we go ahead? Mr. Kam, we are in the middle of a proceeding of Mr. Au's petition for reinstatement of his salesman's license. You were asked to state your observations and opinion on the behavior and conduct of Mr. Au in the past five years. What has been your relationship with Mr. Au?

KAM: Well, I have known Mr. Au as far back as 1940. Since that time, he has serviced all my brothers. During the past 14 years, I have found him to be a loyal friend, stable in mind, and honest.

ODOM: During the past years, how often you have come in contact with Mr. Au.

KAM: Most nearly every week I ran across him. He calls me by phone and we discuss business and insurance.

ODOM: Do you ever visit him at his home in the past five years?

KAM: Yes, we live in the same district.

ODOM: You feel you know him well enough to vouch for his conduct?

KAM: Yes, it's above board.

ODOM: That's all.

MAGOON: You have any more questions?

ODOM: No question.

KANAZAWA: Mr. Kam, I have one question. Would you say Mr. Au has lived a moral life for the past five years to justify your action.....

MAGOON: I think.....that's not a proper question. It's up to us to find out. How he knows he has lived an upright life. He can only say, to the best of my knowledge.

ODOM: I don't think we have Mr. Kam's address.

MAGOON: Will you state your full name.

KAM: My full name is William Hee Kam.

MAGOON: Your business address?

KAM: My business address is 217 So. King Street, Arcade Building. My home address is 2055 Round Top Drive.

MAGOON: What's Mr. Au's home address?

AU: 4850 Kewalo Street.

MAGOON: We will take this case under advisement. I want to clarify one point. The question is whether the word "or" in an alternate or not. Did he take an examination?

KANAZAWA: Well, for your information, in the Revised Laws of Hawaii, Section 47, there is a provision which provides that once a person is licensed he is not required to take another examination.

ODOM: You better read all the rules on that. There is one rule that we can require a person to take an examination if we see fit.

No action on the matter of David C. W. Au was taken until an interpretation of Section 7737, subsection 2, Chapter 150, Revised Laws of Hawaii, as amended, has been received from the Attorney General's

office, Territory of Hawaii. It was decided that Mr. Au will be advised on the decision of this Commission as soon as it is known.

Case of Froilan B. Villalba
Informal Hearing

Mr. Villalba took the salesman's examination on December 8, 1950 and passed with a grade of 76.30. The Commission denied him a license because of his unfavorable credit rating and police records against him. Through his attorney, Kinji Kanazawa, Mr. Villalba requested that the Commission review his present credit rating and reconsider his eligibility for a salesman's license. An informal hearing was called to give Mr. Villalba an opportunity to be heard before the members of this Commission.

MAGOON: When did he take this examination?

MIYAGAWA: That was in 1950. This happened during your time, Mr. Kanazawa.

KANAZAWA: I surmise.

MAGOON: Don't you think this is a little out of order?

(A conference was held at this time among the members)

MAGOON: Well, before we disqualify you.....Well, we don't want to do something that would jeopardize your law profession. I don't think you can act one time for the Commission and the next act against it. There might be a question of serving two masters which might be objected by the Bar Association; therefore, I think you might want to withdraw at this point, Mr. Kanazawa?

KANAZAWA: May be I could appear as a personal friend.

MAGOON: I don't want to infringe on your character. If you want to go ahead, you can proceed, Mr. Kanazawa.

KANAZAWA: This is the situation, I am here not on the basis of serving two masters. I just wrote this letter here because he has requested to be here before the Commission. If the Commission feels I am disqualified, I shall withdraw at this point because I have no personal interest in this matter.

MAGOON: Let this case go. Mr. Kanazawa is not appearing as an attorney for Mr. Villalba. He is merely appearing as a friend to assist but not as an attorney.

KANAZAWA: That's good.

MAGOON: I'll like to study this matter. We'll set another date for

a hearing. That's all. We will let you know more about that. You will hear very shortly from us. I want to be sure that we are not doing anything wrong.

The Commission deliberated on the above case and unanimously decided to schedule another hearing for Mr. Villalba. The Administrator was instructed to follow through on this matter.

Case of Richard K. Ho
Informal Hearing

Mr. Richard K. Ho, broker, was cited for violation of Section 7736, Chapter 150, Revised Laws of Hawaii 1945, as amended, for advertising fee simple land under Valu-Bilt Homes, Ltd., which is not licensed by this Commission to sell real estate other than their own. Mr. Ho was called to answer this Commission's charges.

MAGOON: You are a licensed real estate broker?

HO: Yes.

MAGOON: How long have you been a broker?

HO: 1948 or 1949.

MAGOON: Did you take an examination?

HO: No, I didn't take the broker's examination.

MAGOON: In other words, you went under the wire.

HO: Yes.

MAGOON: You know what you are cited for?

HO: Yes, I think for advertising under Valu-Bilt Homes fee simple lands.

MAGOON: You are a broker in charge?

HO: Yes.

MAGOON: Does that company have a license to sell real estate?

HO: We were incorporated primarily to build houses out in Aina Haina where we operate under options up in Robert Hind property.

MAGOON: Don't you think you should have a license?

HO: Well, the main purpose of the corporation is house building.

MAGOON: Never mind, you are in violation of Section 7736, which reads:

"No person, co-partnership or corporation shall act as a real estate broker or real estate salesman, or shall advertise, or assume to act as a real estate broker or real estate salesman without a license previously obtained under and in compliance with the provisions of this chapter and the rules and regulations of the commission." In other words, this corporation is operating without a license.

HO: As I said, our corporation is set up primarily to deal with lands we have under options. I threw the ad in completely overlooking the fact that I shouldn't advertise the Waipahu property.

ODOM: What about the articles of the corporation, does that mention dealing in real estate?

HO: I think it's general - as usual the attorneys draw them up. It's general as possible. Under our purchase agreement, we purchase the land and build the houses and sold them under an option. We have an option, we own, we buy, and we build the houses.

MAGOON: Are you still in existence - the Valu-Bilt Homes?

HO: Yes, the corporation is still in existence although the option has expired. I am not doing anything right now.

MAGOON: Before you can operate, you got to get a license.

HO: If we continue we may get a license.....there are no further options at present.....

MAGOON: Well, I think you should take a broker's license forthwith I mean a brokerage license - or otherwise we can suspend your license, or we can revoke your license. You should know better. Of course, we all make mistakes. We'll take this under advisement. What you got to say, Mr. Odom?

ODOM: I feel that he got to have a broker's license.

MAGOON: You are dealing with real estate, and if you're the broker-in-charge, you should have a brokerage license.

ODOM: You think this Valu-Bilt is going on building houses?

Minutes

-13-

July 28, 1954

HO: I doubt it very much. I think it's just about pitted out.

ODOM: This is the way I feel. I do feel you should have a broker's license before advertising this corporation.

MAGOON: Well, I think I agree with you. How soon are you going to dissolve?

HO: As soon as I sell the last house.

MAGOON: Well, now, if you're going to do any business, you got to have a brokerage license or give it to somebody else. If you're going to do business, you got to have a brokerage license. If you continue on, we will have to cite you for violation of our laws.

HO: I have no alternative. I have to pick up a broker's application.

MAGOON: In a day or so; we don't want to.....I believe in a time limit. You say you are going to file within two days.....well, that's sufficient. I am not asking you to draw one right now. If you are not going to continue, you write us a letter. When can you give us a letter?

HO: Now..

MAGOON: We will give you 3 or 4 days. We will give you until Monday, August 2nd.

The Commission, after Mr. Ho left, decided to leave it up to Mr. Ho to decide whether he should file for a brokerage license or write us a letter that the Valu-Bilt Homes, Ltd., will dissolve.

Case of Juan P. Canada
Informal Hearing

Mr. Juan P. Canada, broker, was called before the Commission to answer for his negligence in handling the real estate transaction between Mr. Modesto Dumlao and Cenon A. Galicia. An investigation of the complaint disclosed that the misunderstanding stemmed from the fact that Mr. Canada failed to put in writing the extension agreed upon by the parties to the contract.

(Mr. Canada was called in)

MAGOON: What is your business now, Mr. Canada?

CANADA: Real estate broker and insurance.

Minutes

-14-

July 28, 1954

MAGOON: What is your address:

CANADA: My address is Room 105, 227 So. King Street.

MAGOON: We have before us a complaint that you did not quite close his deal in time. Has that deal been closed?

CANADA: Yes, it has been closed.

MAGOON: You are sure?

CANADA: I am sure.

MAGOON: Why did it take so long to close?

CANADA: Well, you see that property was condemned by the Hawaii Housing Authority. It was owned by two persons and the Hawaii Housing Authority took some of the land. Before we could sell to our buyer, we had to have an authority of some kind, so we were waiting for that. The reason why I did not put the extension in time was because the agreement was done by my buyer and seller. They agreed that they would wait until the Hawaii Housing gave them okay. They agreed this thing just between the two buyer and seller.

MAGOON: Nothing in writing?

CANADA: Nothing in writing.

MAGOON: The real estate laws of the Territory require that all contracts be in writing and if it isn't in writing, it isn't worth anything. Isn't that right?

CANADA: Yes, I just put in writing "this contract shall be binding if signed by the parties hereto within ten days from the date of the initial payment receipt, aforementioned. Necessary documents to complete this transaction shall be drawn and duly executed on or before 30 days, unless this time is extended by mutual consent.

MAGOON: Any real estate transaction unless in writing isn't worth anything unless it is in writing. Don't you think this should have been extended in writing?

CANADA: Yes. My attorney said it is not necessary.

MAGOON: Whose your attorney?

CANADA: Mr. Leong Chun - he's my attorney. He advised me. I presented to him this case.

MAGOON: I don't feel that you represented your seller in the proper way.

CANADA: In this particular case, it is really the man I am dealing with. He said he can't wait for the papers so I didn't put in writing. At that time, I was about to give up this case. I couldn't give it up yet because the deposit doesn't belong to me - it belongs to the seller, so I bring the seller to his house and they agreed verbally that he is willing to wait for the papers from the Hawaii Housing Authority and the International Mortgage Company. All the time I know the title was clear because Mr. Calicia borrowed \$6,000.00 and I had to wait for the search of title.

ODOM: In the future you should look after your principal.

CANADA: Yes, Mr. Odom.

ODOM: Do you have anything to say, Mr. Magoon?

MAGOON: Did you take the examination for a real estate license?

CANADA: Yes, I did.

MAGOON: What is the Statutes of Frauds?

CANADA: I don't

MIYAGAWA: Did you take the real estate broker's examination?

CANADA: No, I did not.

MIYAGAWA: You know the law in real estate that real estate transactions must be in writing?

CANADA: Well, I always put transactions in writing except in this particular case when it was agreed between the buyer and seller.

MAGOON: You know you have to put in writing?

CANADA: Yes.

MAGOON: Then you were negligent for not putting in writing?

CANADA: Well, it shows I am.

MAGOON: Then, you think it won't happen again?

CANADA: I will see to it that it won't happen again.

MAGOON: You realize that you made a mistake?

CANADA: Yes, I did.

- MAGOON: We are going to excuse you this time. If it happens again we may have to take more serious action, so you ought to be very careful in the future. I think this is just an oversight on your part or more or less. Okey.
- CANADA: Thank you.
- MAGOON: That's all.

The Commission realized that Mr. Canada was negligent in his failure to put the extension agreement between the parties to the contract in writing and voted to send a letter of reprimand cautioning him to be more careful in the future.

Case of Antone C. Cacatian

The Administrator reported that Mr. Cacatian received the Commission's letter revoking his salesman's license pending his appearance before the Commission for a hearing for violation of Section 7741, subparagraph 1, Chapter 150, Revised Laws of Hawaii, as amended.

Mr. Cacatian was unable to be present at today's hearing because the next hearing on his case in court is scheduled for Friday, July 30, 1954. He begged consideration of this Commission to allow his license to expire instead of revoking it. The Commission considered his request and unanimously voted to suspend his license until a final adjudication of his case in court.

Reinstatement Requests

The following salesmen requested reinstatement of their salesmen's licenses:

1. Albert Sher Chew Kong
2. Mark Huckestein
3. Homer A. Heyes

There being no derogatory records against any of the above petitioners, the members unanimously voted to approve the reinstatement of their licenses.

Requests to Open Branch Offices

The following brokers and brokerage requested permission from this Commission to open branch offices at the designated locations:

1. James M. Hirata, broker, to open branch office at 2429 Kalakaua Avenue, Honolulu, T. H.
2. Wendell F. Brooks, broker, to open branch office at 329 Kuulei Road, Lanikai. Mr. Brooks will close his Waikiki branch office. Mrs. K. Van Benschoten, broker, will be in charge of the branch office at 329 Kuulei Road.

3. Bishop Trust Company to open branch office at Waialua with Lewis Yogi as broker-in-charge.

Upon the recommendation of the Administrator, Mr. Odom moved, seconded by Mr. Yamamoto, and unanimously carried that the above applicants be granted permission to open branch offices and licenses issued immediately upon payment of the \$2.00 branch office license fees.

Next Real Estate Examinations

The next real estate examinations for salesmen and brokers were unanimously scheduled for October 16, 1954 and the deadline for accepting all applications was set as September 27, 1954.

Case of Thomas Yoon, Broker

Mr. Thomas Yoon, broker, was cited for violation of Rule 6, Rules and Regulations of the Real Estate License Commission at the last meeting. In reply to our letter, Mr. W. Y. Pack, broker, notified this Commission that Mr. Yoon had appointed him as the broker-in-charge of Mr. Yoon's office during his absence from the Territory of Hawaii.

The Administrator recommended that this case against Mr. Yoon be closed, pending his return from the Orient. The members unanimously agreed that upon Mr. Yoon's return from the Orient, he should be strongly cautioned against such recurrence.

Case of Island Development Co., Ltd.

The Administrator reminded the members to attend the meeting on Friday, July 30, 1954 at 9:00 a.m., which was called by Mr. Edward Sylva, Attorney General of the Territory of Hawaii, to discuss the case of Island Development Co., Ltd., with all department heads concerned in this sale-scheme to sell one square inch lots for \$1.00.

Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 11:00 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,


Stephen K. Miyagawa
Administrator

SKM:etk